REMARKS

By the present communication, claims 38 and 39 have been amended to define Applicant's invention with greater particularity. No new matter has been introduced by the subject amendments as the amended claim language is fully supported by the specification and original claims. Accordingly, claims 1-42 remain pending. A complete listing of the claims as amended is provided herewith, beginning on page 2.

In accordance with the present invention, there are provided adhesive compositions comprising at least one maleimide-containing monomer, optionally at least one cure initiator, and a plurality of spacers constructed from one or more organic polymers. Invention adhesive compositions are useful for controlling bond line thickness and plannity between a device and a substrate, or between semiconductor dies in a stacked die peakage. Bond line thickness and plannity are largely determined by the size of the spacers in the adhesive composition.

In further supects of the invention, there are provided methods for creating a substantially uniform bond line between a device and a substrate; methods for controlling allustive gap thickness between a device and a substrate; methods for controlling plurarity across an adhesive bond line; methods for creating substantially uniform bond lines between at least one semicondustor die attached to a substrate in a stacked arrangement; and methods for adhesively attaching at least two servicenductor dies to the substrate in a stacked arrangement without the need for a supsor die.

The rejection of claims 38 and 39 under 35 U.S.C. § 101 as allegedly being directed to non-statutory subject matter has been obviated by the amendments submitted herewith. Thus, as amended, claims 38 and 39 are directed to an article which is defined, in part, by the presence of a defined bond line.

The rejection of claims 1-23, 26-29, 41 and 42 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as allegedly being unpatentable over Dershem '962 (U.S. Patent No. 5,232,962) in view of Dershem '194 (U.S. Patent No. 6,034,194) is respectfully traversed. Applicant's invention, as defined, for

example, by claim 1, distinguishes over the applied references at least by requiring maleimidebased adheave compositions comprising a plurality of spacers constructed from one or more organic polymers. Indeed, as acknowledged by the Examiner, "(Tibe difference between the prior art and the present invention is the inclusion of a maleimide-containing moromer in the adhesive composition in the present invention." (See page 3, lines 17-18 of the Office Action.)

While each of the Dershem patents represent a significant advance in the art, only the present application teaches the combination of a maleimide-based adhesive composition and a plurality of spacers constructed from one or more organic polymers. Only the present application teaches the compatibility of these two components. Only the present application teaches the benefits obtained by combining these two components.

The rejection of claims 24 and 25 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as allegedly being unpatentable over Dershem; 962 in view of Dershem; 1944 and Dershem; 034 (U.S. Pasent No. 5,717,034) is respectfully traversed. Applicant's invention, as defined for example by claim 24, distinguishes over the applied references at least by requiring a maleimide-based adhesiave composition comprising a plurality of spacers constructed from one or more organic polymers, and at least one non-conductive filler different from the spacer. As acknowledged by the Examine, "(1)the difference between the prior art and the present invention is the use of organic polymer-based filler in the adherive compositions." (See page 5, lines 5-6 of the Office Action.)

while each of the Deviken patents represent a significant advance in the art, only the present application traches the combination of a maleinide-based adhesive composition containing a plantily of spaces constructed form one or more organic polymers and at least one non-conductive filler different from the spacer. Only the present application traches the compatibility of these three composents. Only the present application teaches the benefits contained by combining these three components.

The rejections of claims 1-6, 12-20, 8 [sp-287]-39, 41 and 42 under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as allegedly being anticipated by, or in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as allegedly being

-abricoss over Dershem '034 are respectfully traversed. Applicant's invention, as defined for disample by claim 1, distinguishes over Dershem '034 at least by requiring a maleimide-based adhesive composition containing a plurality of gaesse. As defined in Applicant's specification, the (see, for example, the 2rd, 3rd, and 4rd paragraphs at page 4 of the specification, the pullrality of spacer particles will typically have some degree of uniformity so as to achieve a uniform bond line. In contrast, addition of filler to an adhesive formulation, for instance, to alter the rheology thereof, may embrace a range of particle sizes, which would not be suitable for providing a uniform bond line.

Applicant's invention, as defined for example by new claim 43 (original claim 3 rewritten in independent form), distinguishes over Denhem '034 at least by requiring a male imidebased adhesive composition containing a plunishy of spacets, wherein the spacers have a particle size in the range of about 0.02 mili up to about 2.5 mils. Only the present application teaches the use of spacers having the required range of particle sizes.

Applicant's invention, as defined for example by new claim 44 (original claim 7 rewritten in independent form), distinguishes over Dershem '034 at least by requiring a maleimidebased adhesive composition containing a plurality of generacy, wherein the original polymers are polymerization or copolymerization products of or-olefins, (meth)acrylates, vinyl esters, servlanders, or aerylonities. Only the present application teaches the use of spacers made from the recited polymers or co-polymers.

The indication that claim 40 is allowable is acknowledged with appreciation. By the present communication, claim 40 has been re-written in independent form. Accordingly, claim 40 is submitted to be in condition for allowance.

In view of the above amendments and remarks, reconsideration and favorable action on all claims is respectfully requested. In the event any issues remain to be resolved in view of this

communication, the Examiner is invited to contact the undersigned at the telephone number given below so that a prompt disposition of this application can be achieved.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: December 22, 2003

DLMR246731 2

FOLEY & LARDNER

Customer Number: 30542

Telephone: (858) 847-6711

Facsimile: (858) 792-6773

Stephen E. Reiter Attorney for Applicant Registration No. 31,192